status: #ongoing Author: Sizhe Liu Date: 2022-09-11 tags: Quantum Mechanics - #quantumMechanics - State Vector Formalism

TL;DR: Density Matrix and State Vector are the two mathematical arenas for doing calculations based on the postulates of QM. In this note we discuss intrinsic characteristics of Density Matrix, and its relation with quantum computation. Density operator and Density matrix are used interchangeably in the text.

Postulates of Quantum Mechanics, Recasted

In the State Vector Formalism(SVF), we use state vector $|\phi\rangle$, which lives on inner product space V, to describe status of an isolated quantum system. And the evolution of such a system is described by Schrodinger Eqn.:

$$i\hbar rac{\partial |\phi
angle}{\partial t} = \mathcal{H} |\phi
angle$$
 (1)

where \mathcal{H} is the Hamiltonian of the system. The state at t_1 , $|\phi_1\rangle$ and $|\phi_2\rangle$ at t_2 are related by unitary operator U, i.e.,

$$|\phi_2
angle = U|\phi_1
angle$$
 (2)

With this, we dive into the Density Matrix Formalism(DMF), in which the "states" of a quantum system is described by a matrix $|\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|$ with ϕ_i being a possible **pure state** of the quantum system in SVF. The pure states in SVF are the ones we already know, like the eigenstates of 1D/2D/3D potential well. DMF assigns each possible state(matrix) a probability $p_i \in (0, 1]$, and the collection of $\{p_i, |\phi_i\rangle\}$ is referred as the **ensemble of pure states**. With this, the density operator is defined as

$$ho = \sum_{i}^{d} p_{i} |\phi_{i}
angle \langle \phi_{i}|$$
 (3)

where *d* is the dimension of some Hilbert space that Hamiltonian of the quantum system acts on. From elementary statistical theory, we have $\sum_i p_i = 1$. The pure state in DMF is made of only one of the "state", i.e.,

$$ho = |\phi
angle\langle\phi|$$
 (4)

With this, we define mixed state of a quantum system as

$$ho = \sum_{i}^{l \leq d} p_i |\phi_i
angle \langle \phi_i|.$$
 (5)

With these definitions, we can see that

$$tr(
ho^2) < 1 ~~{
m for mixed state}$$

and

$$tr(
ho^2)=1 \quad ext{for pure state}.$$

As an analogue to Eqn.(2) in DMF, we now relate the states at two consecutive time instances, t_1 and t_2 , by using the following,

$$ho_{(t=t_2)} = \sum_i p_i U |\phi_i(t_1)\rangle \langle \phi_i(t_1) | U^\dagger = U
ho_{(t=t_1)} U^\dagger.$$
(6)

Eqn.(6) gives a way to describe the evolution of a quantum system in DMF. We now need a way to describe the measurement applied on quantum systems in DMF. Assume that the system of our interest is initially at state of $|\phi_i\rangle$, on which we apply a measurement operator M_m . The subscript here is the index of a possible outcome of such a measurement. The conditional possibility of getting the outcome m when the state is then

$$p(m|i) = \langle \phi_i | M_m^\dagger M_m | \phi_i
angle = tr(M_m^\dagger M_m | \phi_i
angle \langle \phi_i |).$$
 (7)

The last equality can be seen by writing out the trace of $M_m^{\dagger}M_m |\phi_i
angle\langle\phi_i|$ as

$$tr(M_m^\dagger M_m |\phi_i
angle \langle \phi_i|) = \sum_i \langle i|M_m^\dagger M_m |\phi_i
angle \langle \phi_i|i
angle = \langle \phi_i|\left(\sum_i |i
angle \langle i|
ight)|M_m^\dagger M_m |\phi_i
angle$$

Since $|i\rangle$ is an orthonormal basis, we must have Eqn.(7). With p(m|i) and p_i from (5), the possibility of getting m from a mixed state is then

$$p(m) = \sum_{i} p(m|i)p_{i} = tr\left(M_{m}^{\dagger}M_{m}\sum_{i} p_{i}|\phi_{i}\rangle\langle\phi_{i}|\right) = tr(M_{m}^{\dagger}M_{m}\rho).$$
(8)

After the measurement M_m , we get a new ensemble of states $|\phi_i^m\rangle$. The corresponding density operator is then become

$$ho_m = \sum_i p(i|m) |\phi_i^m
angle \langle \phi_i^m | = \sum_i p(i|m) rac{M_m |\phi_i
angle \langle \phi_i | M_m^{\dagger}}{\langle \phi_i | M_m^{\dagger} M_m | \phi_i
angle}.$$
 (9)

Note that $p(i|m) = p(i,m)/p(m) = p(m|i)p_i/p(m)$, we substitute (7) and (8) into (9) to give

$$\rho_m = \sum_i p_i \frac{M_m |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_i | M_m^{\dagger}}{tr(M_m^{\dagger} M_m \rho)} = \frac{M_m \rho M_m^{\dagger}}{tr(M_m^{\dagger} M_m \rho)}.$$
(10)

In the context of quantum computing, if we have some noises in the system right after the measurement, we lose the result m of our measurement. Then our quantum system might be at the mixed state ρ_m with probability of p(m). In such a case, we get a mixture of different density operators as

$$\sum_{m} p(m)\rho_m = \sum_{m} M_m \rho M_m^{\dagger}.$$
(11)

We are now at a good position to recast the postulates of QM as the following(from Mike and Ike):

- 1. Associated to any isolated physical system is a complex vector space with inner product (that is, a Hilbert space) known as the state space of the system. The system is completely described by its density operator, which is a positive operator ρ with trace one, acting on the state space of the system. If a quantum system is in the state ρ_i with probability p_i , then the density operator for the system is $\sum_i p_i \rho_i$.
- 2. The evolution of a closed quantum system is described by a unitary transformation. That is, the state ρ of the system at time t_1 is related to the state ρ' of the system at time t_2 by a unitary operator U which depends only on the times t_1 and t_2

$$ho' = U
ho U^{\dagger}$$

3. Quantum measurements are described by a collection $\{M_m\}$ of measurement operators. These are operators acting on the state space of the system being measured. The index m refers to the measurement outcomes that may occur in the experiment. If the state of the quantum system is ρ immediately before the measurement then the probability that result m occurs is given by

$$p(m) = \mathrm{tr} \left(M_m^\dagger M_m
ho
ight)$$

and the state of the system after the measurement is

$$rac{M_m
ho M_m^\dagger}{{
m tr}\left(M_m^\dagger M_m
ho
ight)}$$

The measurement operators satisfy the completeness equation,

$$\sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m = I$$

4. The state space of a composite physical system is the tensor product of the state spaces of the component physical systems. Moreover, if we have systems numbered 1 through n_i , and system number i is prepared in the state ρ_i , then the joint state of the total system is $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho_n$.

General properties of density matrix

A density matrix must have the following properties:

- tr(
 ho) = 1
- ρ is a positive matrix

Bloch sphere for mixed states(Exercise 2.72 from Mike&lke)

Show that an arbitrary density matrix for a mixed state qubit may be written as

$$ho = rac{I+ec{r}\cdotec{\sigma}}{2},$$

where \vec{r} is a real three-dimensional vector such that $\|\vec{r}\| \leq 1$. This vector is known as the Bloch vector for the state ρ .

The pure state in SVF can be represented by unit vector on the Bloch sphere, with its expression being:

$$|\psi
angle = \cos{ extstyle{ heta}\over2}|0
angle + e^{i\phi}\sin{ extstyle{ heta}\over2}|1
angle$$

So, the pure state in DMF is then

$$ho = |\psi
angle\langle\psi| = egin{bmatrix} \cos^2(heta/2) & \cos(\phi)\cos(heta/2)\sin(heta/2) - i\sin(\phi)\cos(heta/2)\sin(heta/2) & \sin(heta/2) - i\sin(heta/2) - i\sin(heta/2) & \sin(heta/2) - i\sin(heta/2) & \sin(heta/2) &$$

And we have here tr(
ho)=1. Let $ec{r}=(r_1,r_2,r_3)^T$, ho should be in a form of

$$rac{1}{2}egin{bmatrix} 1+r_3 & r_1-ir_2\ r_1+ir_2 & 1-r_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

To make it work, we must have

$$2\cos^2(heta/2) = 1 + r_3 \ r_3 = 2\cos^2(heta/2) - 1 \ r_1 = 2\cos(\phi)\cos(heta/2)\sin(heta/2) \ r_2 = 2\sin(\phi)\cos(heta/2)\sin(heta/2)$$

With these, it can be shown that |r| = 1. For a mixed state, we have $\rho = \sum p_k |\psi_k\rangle \langle \psi_k|$. Using state vector definition of $|\phi_i\rangle$, the density matrix is now

$$ho = egin{bmatrix} \sum_k p_k \cos^2(heta_k/2) & \sum_k p_k \cos(\phi_k) \cos($$

Let $ec{r}_k=(r_{k1},r_{k2},r_{k3})^T$ to be the **Bloch vector** for pure state $|\phi_i
angle\langle\phi_i|$, so we have

$$r_{k3} = 2\cos^2(heta_k/2) - 1 \ r_{k1} = 2\cos(\phi_k)\cos(heta_k/2)\sin(heta_k/2) \ r_{k2} = 2\sin(\phi_k)\cos(heta_k/2)\sin(heta_k/2)$$

Because $\sum_k p_k = 1$, the matrix above can be represented as

$$ho = egin{bmatrix} rac{1}{2}\sum_k p_k(1+r_{k3}) & rac{1}{2}\sum_k p_k(r_{k1}-ir_{k2}) \ rac{1}{2}\sum_k p_k(r_{k1}+ir_{k2}) & rac{1}{2}\sum_k p_k(1-r_{k3}) \end{bmatrix} = rac{I+\sum_k p_kec{r}_k\cdot\sigma}{2}$$

Since $||\sum_k p_k \vec{r}_k|| \le \sum_k p_i ||\vec{r}_k|| = 1$, we now understand that the mixed state is represented by a vector $\sum_k p_k \vec{r}_k$ that has its head inside Bloch sphere.

Unitary freedom in the ensemble for density matrices

The **theorem of unitary freedom** is stated as: the sets $\{|\tilde{\psi}_i\rangle\}$ and $\{|\tilde{\varphi}_j\rangle\}$ generate the same density matrix if and only if

$$\left| ilde{\psi}_{i}
ight
angle = \sum_{j} u_{ij} \left| ilde{arphi}_{j}
ight
angle \tag{12}$$

where u_{ij} is a unitary matrix of complex numbers, with indices i and j, and we 'pad' whichever set of vectors $|\tilde{\psi}_i\rangle$ or $|\tilde{\varphi}_j\rangle$ is smaller with additional vectors 0 so that the two sets have the same number of elements.

As a consequence of the theorem, note that $\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| = \sum_j q_j |\varphi_j\rangle \langle \varphi_j|$ for normalized states $|\psi_i\rangle, |\varphi_j\rangle$ and probability distributions p_i and q_j if and only if

$$\sqrt{p_i}\ket{\psi_i} = \ket{ ilde{\psi}_i} = \sum_j u_{ij} \sqrt{q_j} \ket{arphi_j}.$$

Let U be the unitary matrix with its element being u_{ij} , and we see the two sets are related as

$$[|\tilde{\psi}_1\rangle\cdots|\tilde{\psi}_k\rangle] = [|\tilde{\varphi}_1\rangle\cdots|\tilde{\varphi}_k\rangle]U^T.$$
 (13)

Exercise 2.73 from Mike and Ike: Let ρ be a density operator. A minimal ensemble for ρ is an ensemble $\{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle\}$ containing a number of elements equal to the rank of ρ . Let $|\psi\rangle$ be any

state in the support of ρ . (The support of a Hermitian operator A is the vector space spanned by the eigenvectors of A with non-zero eigenvalues.) Show that there is a minimal ensemble for ρ that contains $|\psi_i\rangle$, and moreover that in any such ensemble $|\psi_i\rangle$ must appear with probability

$$p_i = \frac{1}{\langle \psi_i \, | \rho^{-1} | \psi_i \rangle} \tag{14}$$

where ρ^{-1} is defined to be the inverse of ρ , when ρ is considered as an operator acting only on the support of ρ . (This definition removes the problem that ρ may not have an inverse.)

SOLUTION: From spectral theorem, density matrix ρ is decomposed as $\rho = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \lambda_k |k\rangle \langle k|$ where $d = \dim \mathcal{H}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $p_k > 0$ for $k = 1 \cdots, l$ where $l = \operatorname{rank}(\rho)$ and $p_k = 0$ for $k = l + 1, \cdots, d$. Thus $\rho = \sum_{k=1}^{l} p_k |k\rangle \langle k| = \sum_{k=1}^{l} |\tilde{k}\rangle \langle \tilde{k}|$, where $|\tilde{k}\rangle = \sqrt{\lambda_k} |k\rangle$. For any state vector $|\psi_i\rangle$, we can write

$$|\psi_i
angle = \sum_{k=1}^l c_{ik} |k
angle.$$
 (15)

Because state vectors are unit vectors, we have $\sum_k |c_{ik}|^2 = 1$. To find the minimal ensemble that contains $|\psi_i\rangle$, we construct a new set $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}$ that shares the same unitary freedom with $\{|k\rangle\}$. To do so, we must find the unitary matrix that relates the two sets(eqn.13). Notice that if $|\psi_i\rangle$ is in the minimal ensemble, we can associate it with a probability p_i . From (15), we have

$$| ilde{\psi}_i
angle = \sum_k^l rac{\sqrt{p_i}c_{ik}}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} | ilde{k}
angle.$$
 (16)

If we let $u_{ik} = rac{\sqrt{p_i}c_{ik}}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}}$, and to make the row vector $ec{u}_i = [u_{i1}, u_{i2}, \dots u_{il}]$ normalized, we have

$$p_i \sum_{k}^{l} \frac{|c_{ik}|^2}{\lambda_k} = 1 \to p_i = \frac{1}{\sum_{k}^{l} \frac{|c_{ik}|^2}{\lambda_k}}.$$
 (17)

Using Gram-Schmit procedure, we can construct the unitary matrix U as

$$U = egin{bmatrix} ec{u}_1 \ dots \ ec{u}_i \ ec{u}_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Because the row vectors in the matrix above are orthonormal, we have $U^{\dagger}U = I$. From (16) we find other members in the minimal ensemble through the following relation:

$$\left[\left|\tilde{\psi}_{1}\right\rangle\cdots\left|\tilde{\psi}_{l}\right\rangle\cdots\left|\tilde{\psi}_{l}\right
ight
angle
ight]=\left[\left|\tilde{k}_{1}\right\rangle\cdots\left|\tilde{k}_{l}
ight
angle
ight]U^{T}$$
(18)

So that

$$egin{aligned} &\sum_i | ilde{\psi}_i
angle \langle ilde{\psi}_i| = \left[| ilde{\psi}_1
angle \cdots | ilde{\psi}_k
angle
ight] egin{bmatrix} \langle ilde{\psi}_1| \ dots \ \langle ilde{\psi}_k| \end{bmatrix} \ &= \left[ig| ilde{k}_1 ig
angle \cdots | ilde{k}_k ig
angle
ight] U^T U^* iggin{bmatrix} \langle igkkar{k}_1| \ dots \ \langle ilde{k}_k| \end{bmatrix} \ &= \left[ig| ilde{k}_1 ig
angle \cdots | ilde{k}_k ig
angle
ight] iggin{bmatrix} \langle igkkar{k}_1| \ dots \ \langle ilde{k}_k| \end{bmatrix} \ &= \left[igl| ilde{k}_1 igred \cdots | ilde{k}_k igree
ight] iggin{bmatrix} \langle igkkar{k}_1| \ dots \ \langle ilde{k}_k| \end{bmatrix} \ &= \sum_j igl| ilde{k}_j igree igl\langle ilde{k}_j igree ig$$

To obtain (14), we notice that $ho^{-1}=\sum_krac{1}{\lambda_k}|k
angle\langle k|$, and use (15), we can show that

$$ig\langle \psi_i ig|
ho^{-1} ig| \psi_i ig
angle = \sum_k^l rac{|c_{ik}|^2}{\lambda_k} = 1/p_i$$

as indicated in (17).